

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DURRELL ANTHONY PUCKETT,
Plaintiff,
v.
HEATH, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-0476 DJC CKD P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On December 9, 2024, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. In his objections, Petitioner now states that he has identified the Jane Doe defendant. Consistent with the Magistrate

Judge's findings and recommendations, he is permitted to identify this individual in an amended complaint.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 70) are adopted in full;
2. Plaintiff's motion to amend (ECF No. 57) is denied without prejudice to renewal once Plaintiff identifies the real name of the Jane Doe Sergeant in the second amended complaint; and
3. This matter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 17, 2025**


Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12/puck0476.805